EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

James Whittemore,)
Plaintiff,) Case No
vs.)
National Credit Union Administration Board,)
Defendant.)

NON-PARTY JAMES WHITTEMORE'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA AND FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER

Non-party Mr. James Whittemore ("Mr. Whittemore") respectfully moves the Court pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 45(d) to enter a protective order and quash in its entirety the Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action, dated April 14, 2015 (the "Subpoena"), served by Plaintiff National Credit Union Administration Board, etc. ("NCUA" or "Plaintiff") in connection with *National Credit Union Administration Board v. RBS Securities, Inc.*, 13-cv-6726 (S.D.N.Y.) and related actions (the "Underlying Action"), or, in the alternative, for a protective order limiting the permissible topics about which Plaintiff can inquire during the requested deposition to those not already covered in Mr. Whittemore's prior testimony. In support thereof, Mr. Whittemore submits his Memorandum In Support of Motion to Quash Subpoena and for a Protective Order and states as follows:

1. The Underlying Action alleges that the defendants underwrote and sold residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") pursuant to written materials (collectively, the "Offering Documents") that Plaintiff alleges contained untrue statements of material fact or omitted material facts. Since the credit crisis in 2007, numerous similar lawsuits have been filed by other

plaintiffs, and the conduct of various financial institutions, including RBS Securities, Inc., ("RBS"), has been the subject of multiple investigations by the Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission. In connection with these matters, since 2011, Mr. Whittemore has testified four times, for a total of 5 days, recorded in 1,155 pages of transcripts of prior testimony—all of which is available to Plaintiff in the Underlying Action.

- 2. Mr. Whittemore is a non-party to the Underlying Action. Mr. Whittemore is 67 years old and resides in West Chicago, Illinois. He is currently self-employed as an underwriting consultant, for which he is paid for his time by the hour. From 2003 to 2009, he worked as a credit officer at RBS. In that role, Mr. Whittemore reviewed sample loan portfolios to make sure they complied with the underwriting guidelines of lenders.
- 3. On April 14, 2015, the Plaintiff in the Underlying Action issued a subpoena for Mr. Whittemore to appear on May 12, 2015, for yet another deposition regarding the same topics on which he has previously testified.
- 4. Pursuant to Local Rule 37.2, counsel for Mr. Whittemore conferred with Plaintiff's counsel on April 20, 2015 and explained that Mr. Whittemore had testified on four previous occasions over five days during which he was subject to a searching inquiry and during which he thoroughly testified regarding the issues relevant to the Underlying Action. Mr. Whittemore's prior testimony extensively covered Mr. Whittemore's employment background, loan review due diligence procedure at RBS, appraisal processes, sampling, and third-party vendors. These are the very same topics on which Plaintiff's counsel seeks to depose Mr. Whittemore in connection with the Underlying Action. Moreover, Mr. Whittemore's counsel informed Plaintiff's counsel that Mr. Whittemore is an older witness who has not been employed by RBS since 2009, and is, thus, also unable to remember details related to the transactions at

issue in the Underlying Action. There is no reason to believe that now, after additional time has passed, Mr. Whittemore will recall anything not included in his previous testimony regarding any of these topics.

- 5. Mr. Whittemore has provided Plaintiff with transcripts of all of his prior testimony on these matters, pursuant to the Master Discovery Protocol in the Underlying Action, which dictates that Mr. Whittemore's prior testimony "shall be treated as if taken in the [NCUA] Actions." (Ex. 5, at ¶ 10(d).) Thus, the NCUA has no need for further testimony and, in fact, must "endeavor to *not* subject witnesses to the same questioning for which a transcript was previously provided." (*Id.* (emphasis added).)
- 6. Mr. Whittemore's counsel requested that Plaintiff's counsel limit the duration of Mr. Whittemore's deposition and limit the topics covered in the deposition to those that were not raised in Mr. Whittemore's previous depositions and testimony, pursuant to the Master Discovery Protocol. Plaintiff's counsel rejected that offer. The parties were, thus, unable to reach an accord.
- 7. As a result, Mr. Whittemore has brought this motion, because subjecting Mr. Whittemore to yet another deposition on topics that have already been covered extensively in his prior testimony, which is available to Plaintiff, is unnecessarily duplicative, cumulative, and unduly burdensome.
- 8. For these reasons, and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum in Support of Motion to Quash Subpoena and for a Protective Order, Mr. Whittemore respectfully requests that this Court enter a protective order pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 45(d) and 26(c), and quash the Subpoena in its entirety, or, in the alternative, enter a protective order pursuant to Federal Rule 26(c) requiring the NCUA to

identify in advance any topics it contends have not been covered in prior testimony, and limit the deposition to those topics on which Mr. Whittemore has not previously given testimony.

9. A proposed Order has been attached as Exhibit 10 for the Court's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/ Patrick E. Croke

Attorney for Plaintiff James Whittemore

Dated: May 11, 2015

Patrick E. Croke Jaya C. Gupta SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-7000 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036

pcroke@sidley.com jaya.gupta@sidley.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 11, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing Non-Party

James Whittemore's Motion to Quash Subpoena and for a Protective Order with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Michael J. McKenna John K. Ianno NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION 1775 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314

George A. Zelcs KOREIN TILLERY LLC 205 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 1950 Chicago, IL 60601 Tel: (312) 641-9760

Mark C. Hansen
David C. Frederick
Wan J. Kim
Gregory G. Rapawy
KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD,
EVANS & FIGEL, P.L.L.C.
Sumner Square
1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 326-7900

Tel: (202) 326-7900 Fax: (202) 326-7999

Fax: (312) 641-9751

David H. Wollmuth Frederick R. Kessler Steven S. Fitzgerald Ryan A. Kane

WOLLMUTH MAHER & DEUTSCH LLP 500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1200

New York, NY 10110 Tel: (212) 382-3300 Fax: (212) 382-0050

dwollmuth@wmd-law.com fkessler@wmd-law.com sfitzgerald@wmd-law.com rkane@wmd-law.com

Stephen M. Tillery Greg G. Gutzler Richard M. Elias Tamara M. Spicer Steven M. Berezney Michael E. Klenov KOREIN TILLERY LLC

505 North Seventh Street, Suite 3600

St. Louis, MO 63101-1625

Tel: (314) 241-4844 Fax: (312) 241-3525

/s/ Patrick E. Croke

Patrick E. Croke